IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG MONDAY 2nd OCTOBER 2023

LITIGANTS' ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO THE DIRECTIVE DATED 25 JANUARY 2023 ISSUED BY THE HONOURABLE DEPUTY JUDGE PRESIDENT "POWER SUPPLY TO THE JOHANNESBURG HIGH COURT AND THE RESUMPTION OF PHYSICAL MODE OF HEARINGS AS THE DEFAULT POSITION"

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF MATTERS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

EVEN THOUGH THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS IS PHYSICAL, JUDGES MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY

LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE

IN VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS

OPPOSED MOTION

- 9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE YACOOB J
- 9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DOSIO J
- 9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LA GRANGE AJ
- 9D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOORCROFT AJ
- 6F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE H A VAN DER MERWE AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

- GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUGDE TWALA J
- GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J STRIJDOM AJ
- GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAHOMED AJ

URGENT APPLICATIONS

- 9E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MUDAU J
- 9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE THUPAATLASE AJ

SPECIAL MOTION

- 11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J 2022-055444
- 11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE NOKO J 2022/00678

TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VALLY J

FAMILY COURT

- 11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MDALANA-MAYISELA J
- 11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE NKUTHA-NKONTWANA J

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FISHER J

RAF DEFAULT JUDGMENT COURT

- 8C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KAIRINOS AJ
- 8D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE C BOTHMA AJ
- 8E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE NOBANGULE AJ
- 8F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANAMELA AJ

COMMERCIAL COURT

Offsite facility BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WEPENER J 2013/38820

CIVIL TRIALS OF LONG DURATION

- 8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOLELEKI AJ 2020/3186
- 8B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CAJEE AJ 2017/9407

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

- 6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WRIGHT J
- 6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J
- 6C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SENYATSI J

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE SUTHERLAND DJP. IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 74 OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVE 02 of 2022 WHICH CAME INTO EFFECT ON 08 JULY 2022 AND WHICH WAS AMENDED ON 02 DECEMBER 2022, THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL. PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPHS 74 – 88 OF THE ABOVEMENTIONED DIRECTIVE.

PARTIES SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ALLOCATIONS BY EMAIL.

LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF THE DIRECTIVE DATED 25 JANUARY 2023 WHICH SETS OUT THE ARRANGEMENTS REGARDING THE MODE OF HEARING. PLEASE LIAISE WITH THE JUDGE'S SECRETARY TO WHOM THE MATTER IS ALLOCATED

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF CIVIL TRIALS IS PHYSICAL COURT.

DUE DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: FRIDAY, 22 SEPTEMBER 2023

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED	
	PART A: GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS			
1.	2010/34253	ZWANE PHUMZILE GLADNESS VS ZWANE BEN BAFANA Plaintiff: Adv J Govender Defendant: Adv C Britz Divorce Plaintiff seeks an order that defendant forfeits patrimonial benefits Defendant seeks division of joint estate Pre-trial conference: 20 September 2023 Minutes registered: 21 September 2023 Estimated duration: 3 days	ADAMS J Secretary: Ms G Dlamini Email: GDlamini@judiciary.org.za	

2.	2021/7757	KHUMALO PEARL VS	MATTER STANDS
		DISASO AND VIVIDEND	TO BE
		INCOME FUND LTD	ALLOCATED. THE
		Plaintiff: Adv M Mataboge Defendant: Adv Z Khan	ALLOCATION WILL
		Delict – plaintiff claims in respect	OCCUR AS SOON
		of injuries sustained when she fell	AS A JUDGE
		through a skylight (balcony) Merits	BECOMES
		Pre-trial conference: 29 May 2023	
		Minutes registered: 05 July 2023	AVAILABLE. THE
		Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days	PARTIES
			WILL BE
			NOTIFIED
			PER E-MAIL
			WHEN THE
			ALLOCATION
			OCCURS

3. | 2018/24109

CANNING DAWNE LOUISE AND OTHERS VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG AND OTHERS

Plaintiff: Adv H P van Nieuwenhuizen Defendant: Adv L Mokwena

Plaintiff seeks:

- 1. A declaratory order that the complaint (fourth plaintiff lodged a complaint with first defendant in writing as envisaged in terms of s 11(1) of the First Defendant's Debt Collection By-Laws respect of the accuracy of the increased rates and thus the amount due and payable by the fourth plaintiff to the first defendant in terms of first defendant's tax invoice of 09 May 2017) has not been resolved. alternatively that complaint had not been resolved by at least 19 June 2018;
- 2. Reserved costs of the June 2018 urgent application on 24 October 2017, the plaintiffs obtained urgent court order against the first defendant in this Court (the interdict application) in terms whereof the first defendant was interdicted from disconnecting terminating the supply of electricity to the property until such time as the complaint lodged with the first defendant was resolved. On or about 19 June 2018 the plaintiffs obtained a further urgent court order against first defendant (in terms of "the June 2018 urgent application") the in interdict application in terms whereof:
 - 2.1 the first defendant was interdicted and restrained from disconnecting or terminating the supply

MATTER STANDS
TO BE
ALLOCATED. THE
ALLOCATION WILL
OCCUR AS SOON
AS A JUDGE
BECOMES
AVAILABLE. THE
PARTIES
WILL BE
NOTIFIED
PER E-MAIL
WHEN THE
ALLOCATION
OCCURS

- of electricity or water to the property;
- 2.2 the aforesaid interdict was to operate as an interim interdict pending the outcome of this action for a declaratory order that the complaint referenced in the original court order had not been resolved:
- 2.3 the costs of the June 2018 application were reserved for determination in the action for declaratory relief;
- 3. payment of an amount based on the condictio sine causa On or about 12 October 2019 the fourth plaintiff entered into a written sale agreement whereby the property was sold to third parties (the buyers). In order to give effect to the aforesaid written sale agreement and to enable the property to be lawfully transferred from the fourth plaintiff to the buyers:
 - 3.1 the fourth plaintiff was obliged to obtain a clearance certificate from the first defendant that there were no outstanding rates and taxes in respect of the property;
 - 3.2 the fourth plaintiff accordingly requested the necessary clearance information from and was provided therewith by the first defendant (the clearance information).
 - 3.3 Terms of the clearance information an amount of;
 - 3.4 An amount was to be paid by no later than01 March 2020 as a prerequisite for the

		firet defendant to	1
		first defendant to issue the fourth plaintiff with the necessary clearance certificate (the rates clearance amount), which included an amount, being rates irregularly levied in respect of the property, for the reasons applicable to the declaratory relief that the plaintiffs seek with regards to the complaint (the irregular rates amount); 3.5 The fourth plaintiff paid the irregular rates amount under protest and accordingly has been impoverished whilst the first defendant has been enriched at the former's expense in the sum of the irregular rates amount, sine causa. Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days	
4.	2021/5818	RASHID SULIMAN VS MONAGAREN SHANNON NAICK AND LALITHA NAICK Plaintiff: Adv D Block Defendant: Adv L Pilusa Plaintiff is claiming monetary damages from the defendants as a result of a dog attack incident that occurred on 03 November 2019 Merits Pre-trial conference: 08 August 2023 Minutes registered: 04 September 2023 Estimated duration: 4 – 5 days	WRIGHT J Secretary: Ms M Vukeya Email: MVukeya@judiciary.org.za

5.	2021/20205	DISEKO DERICK KHOMO VS CITY JOHANNESBURG Plaintiff: Adv V W Rikhotso Claim for damages suffered as a result of injuries sustained by plaintiff after the parking gate at the defendant's premises came off its rail and fell on him Merits Estimated duration: 1 – 2 days	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
6.	2019/33514	PIETER EDWARD ADAMS AND OTHER VS MICHELLE BENEKE	Plaintiff withdrew the action against the defendant and plaintiffs tender payment of an agreed amount of R5 500.00 as contribution towards the defendant's costs as per notice filed on 23 August 2023
7.	2020/26052	MATLAISANE CECILIA VS CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY Plaintiff: Mr H Schouten Delictual damages Merits and quantum Matter is settled	SENYATSI J Secretary: Ms N Khulu Email: NKhulu@judiciary.org.za
8.	2016/42211	MONTEREY BODY CORPORATE VS BILA ANANIAS MOISES	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
9.	2020/41796	MCCARTHY PTY LIMITED VS LAUREN BARBARA OLINSKY Plaintiff: Adv J Kaplan Defendant: Adv W Shapiro SC and Adv I Veerasamy Whether or not defendant made a fraudulent misrepresentation to plaintiff and if so, whether plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount claimed alternatively, whether or not defendant is liable to plaintiff in terms of s 424(1) of the Companies Act 61 of 1973 for the carrying on of the business of Cancom (Pty) Ltd in a fraudulent manner Estimated duration: 4 – 5 days Pre-trial conference: 20 April 2022	SENYATSI J Secretary: Ms N Khulu Email: NKhulu@judiciary.org.za

10.	2021/6322	PIETER HENDRIK STRYDOM N.O HAROON ABDOOL SATAR MOOSA AND OTHER VS SEAN BURTON FRASER- BOTHMA Plaintiff: Adv H R Fourie SC and Adv S Venter Defendant: Adv J W Steyn Claim against the defendant by the plaintiffs is for the setting aside of dispositions in terms of s 29 of the Insolvency Act 24 of 1936 Estimated duration: 10 minutes Pre-trial conference: 07 February 2022 Matter is settled	SENYATSI J Secretary: Ms N Khulu Email: NKhulu@judiciary.org.za	
	Р	ART B: ROAD ACCIDENT FU	IND	
11.	2020/3186	KAROLIA SHAAKIRA VS ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	Trial of Long Duration allocated to MOLELEKI AJ	
	MATTERS	REMOVED FROM THE ROLL	BY NOTICE	
	MATTERS NOT ON	I THE ROLL, COURT FILES A CALLING JUDGE	LRE NOT WITH ROLL	
1.	approximately nine (09) court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the			
2.	Secretary of the Deputy Judge President. The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates approximately five (05) court days before the trial date, where litigants may inspect the roll.			
3.				
4.	If the registrar appro registrar declines the existing Practice Direct	f the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the egistrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives.		
5.	Last-minute enquiries	will not be accommodated.	T	