et at

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN)

1

CASE NUMBER:

8400/2006

5 DATE: 1 DECEMBER 2010

In the matter between:

**RODNEY WILMOT PAGE** 

Applicant (Plaintiff)

and

PARK 2000 DEVELOPMENT (PTY) LTD

Respondent (Defendant)

15

10

## JUDGMENT

(Application for leave to appeal)

20

25

## SMIT, AJ:

In this matter I gave judgment in favour of plaintiff. Defendant has brought an application for leave to appeal and I have been persuaded that there is a reasonable prospect of success on appeal.

Mr Scholtz contended that leave to appeal should be granted to the Supreme Court of Appeal and he has persuaded me /IM 1...

that, in view of the apparent conflict which exists at provincial level regarding the interpretation of contractual suspensive conditions, leave should be granted to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal

5

I accordingly grant leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal. The costs of this application shall be costs in the appeal.

10

